Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operation Research

Remarks on the Papers by Coelho-Barros et al. (2017), Usman et al. (2021) and Obeid and Kadry (2022)

G.G. Hamedani^{1*}, I. Ghosh² and A. Saghir³



- 1.Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Marquette University Milwaukee &, WI 53201-1881 g.hamedani@mu.edu
- 2.Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of North Carolina Wilmington & NC 28403 ghoshi@uncw.edu
- 3.Department of Statistics, Mirpur University of Science and Technology (MUST) & Mirpur-10250 (AJK), Pakistan aamirstat@yahoo.com

Abstract

We would like to point out that the formula for the cumulative distribution given in Coelho-Barros et al. (2017) and a similar version of it given in Usman et al. (2021) are not cumulative distribution functions as these functions do not satisfy the one or more necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to be a cumulative distribution function. We would also like to mention that formulas for the cumulative distribution functions of product and ratio of two independent Pareto and Exponential random variables given by Obeid and Kadry (2022) are not cumulative distribution functions either. We do not believe that these formulas can be fixed to be cumulative distribution functions. In this short article, we provide mathematical justification in support of these claims.

Key Words: Cumulative distribution function; Distribution of the product and ratio; Monotonicity.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 60E10, 62E15, 62F10.

1. Introduction

The following formula is given in Coelho-Barros et al. (2017), equation (2.4) on page 153

$$F(x;\mu,\alpha,\lambda,p) = (1-p)\left\{1 - \left[1 + \lambda \left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right\}, \quad x \ge 0,$$
 (1)

where $\mu > 0, \alpha > 0, \lambda > 0, p \in (0, 1)$ are parametrs.

Using the formula (1), Usman et al. (2021), proposed the following formula, equation (9) on page 697

$$F(x; \beta, \lambda, p) = (1 - p) \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[1 - (1 + \beta x)^{\lambda} \right] \right\}, \quad x \ge 0,$$
 (2)

where $\beta > 0, \lambda > 0, p \in (0, 1)$ are parameters.

^{*}Corresponding author

Obeid and Kadry (2022) proposed the following formulas for the cumulative distribution functions of the product and the ratio of two independent Pareto and Exponential random variables, respectively

$$F_P(x; a, c, \lambda) = 1 - \frac{a^c}{x^c \lambda^c} \Gamma(c+1) + \frac{a^c}{x^c \lambda^c} \Gamma\left(c+1, \frac{\lambda x}{a}\right) - e^{-\frac{\lambda x}{a}}, \quad x \ge 0, \quad (3)$$

$$F_R(x;a,c,\lambda) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda a/x} - \frac{a^c \lambda^c}{x^c} \Gamma(1-c, \frac{\lambda a}{x}), & c < 1\\ e^{-\lambda a/x} - \frac{\lambda}{x} E_c(\lambda a/x), & c = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}, \qquad x \ge 0, \quad (4)$$

where a, c, λ are all positive parameters and $E_c(\lambda a/x) = \left(\frac{\lambda a}{x}\right)^{c-1} \Gamma\left(c+1, \frac{\lambda x}{a}\right)$.

Remarks

- (I) For the function in Eq. (1), clearly $\lim_{x\to\infty} F(x;\mu,\alpha,\lambda,p) = 1 p \neq 1$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} F(x;\beta,\lambda,p) = 1 p \neq 1$. We believe there is no way that the formulas (1) and (2) can be fixed to make them cumulative distribution functions.
- (II) For the function in Eq. (2), clearly $\lim_{x\to 0} F_P(x;a,c,\lambda) = 1 \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{a^c}{x^c \lambda^c} \Gamma(c+1) + \frac{a^c}{\lambda^c} \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\Gamma(c+1,\frac{\lambda x}{a})}{x^c} 1 = 1$ $-\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{a^c}{x^c\lambda^c} \Gamma(c+1) + \frac{a^c}{\lambda^c} \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\Gamma(c+1,\frac{\lambda x}{a})}{x^c} = -\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{a^c}{x^c\lambda^c} \Gamma(c+1) + \frac{a^c}{\lambda^c} \left(\frac{0}{0}\right).$ Using L'Hopital's rule, $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\Gamma(c+1,\frac{\lambda x}{a})}{x^c} = 0$, so $\lim_{x\to 0} F_P(x;a,c,\lambda) = -\infty$ instead of 0 and hence $F_P(x;a,c,\lambda)$ is

not a cdf.

(III) For the function in Eq. (3), $\lim_{x\to 0} F_D(x; a, c, \lambda) = 0 - \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{a^c}{x^c \lambda^c} \Gamma(1-c, \frac{\lambda a}{x}) = -\frac{a^c}{\lambda^c} \Gamma(1-c) \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x^c} = -\infty$. So, $F_D(x; a, c, \lambda)$ is not a cdf for c < 1. Similarly for c = 1, 2, 3, ...

Again, for the function in Eq. (3), one may also observe the fact that (for any set of $(a, c, \lambda) > 0$), and for any $x_1 < x_2$ for $(x_1, x_2) \in S(X)$

$$\frac{a^{c}}{(\lambda x_{1})^{c}}\Gamma\left(c+1,\frac{\lambda x_{1}}{a}\right) \not < \frac{a^{c}}{(\lambda x_{2})^{c}}\Gamma\left(c+1,\frac{\lambda x_{2}}{a}\right). \tag{1}$$

In other words, the function as defined in Eq. (3), is not monotonically increasing always, another necessary condition to be a cdf.

Again, for Eq. (4), one may observe the following as well (after taking appropriate limits)

- (a) For any c < 1, $\lim_{x \to \infty} F_R(x; a, c, \lambda) \neq 1$.
- (b) Similarly, for any $c = 1, 2, \dots \lim_{x \to \infty} F_R(x; a, c, \lambda) \neq 1$.

References

- 1. Coelho-Barros, E. A., Achcar, J., and Mazucheli, J. (2017). Cure rate models considering the burr xii distribution in presence of covariate. Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications, 16:150– 164,doi:10.2991/jsta.2017.16.2.2.
- 2. Obeid, N. and Kadry, S. (2022). Distributions of the product and ratio of two independent pareto and exponential random variables. Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability, 11:291-304, doi:10.18576/jsap/110123.
- 3. Usman, U., Shamsuddeen, S., Arkilla, B., and Aliyu, Y. (2021). Nadarajah-haghighi model for survival data with long term survivors in the presence of right censored data. Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operation Research, 17:695–709, doi:10.18187/pjsor.v17i3.3511.